

AGENDA

FRESNO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION (LAFCo)

July 8, 2026 – 1:30 P.M.

Hall of Records, Room 301, 2281 Tulare Street, Fresno, California

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Victor Martinez, Chair
Mario Santoyo, Chair Pro Tem
Nathan Magsig
Daniel Parra
Buddy Mendes

ALTERNATE MEMBERS

Tom Chaney
Scott Robertson
Vacant

LAFCO STAFF

Brian Spaunhurst, Executive Officer
Amanda Olivas, Clerk to the Commission
Jessica Gibson, LAFCo Analyst
Joel Matias, LAFCo Analyst
Lizbeth Dominguez, LAFCo Analyst
John M. Luebberke, LAFCo Counsel

LAFCo Office: 1401 Fulton Street, Suite 800, Fresno, CA - 93721 - (559) 600-0604
Staff reports prepared for each item listed in this agenda may be viewed at www.fresnolafco.org.

-
1. **Call to Order and Roll Call**
 2. **Pledge of Allegiance**
 3. **Additions to the Agenda**
 4. **Comments from the Public:** Any person wishing to address the Commission on a subject not listed on the agenda may do so at this time. (State your name and address and please keep your comments to three (3) minutes.)
 5. **Potential Conflict of Interest:** Any Commission member who has a potential conflict of interest shall now identify the item and recuse themselves from discussing and voting on the matter pursuant to Govt. Code sec. 84308.

CONSENT AGENDA

All consent agenda items are considered routine in nature and will be enacted by one motion; there will be no individual discussion of these items unless requested by a member of the Commission or the public. Any item pulled from the consent agenda for discussion will be set aside until after approval of the consent agenda. Prior to taking any action the public will be given the opportunity to comment on any consent item. The consent agenda will be considered on or about 1:30 p.m.

6. **Recommendation:** Approve 6 A by taking the following actions:
 - A. **Consider Approval:** Minutes from the LAFCo meeting of June 10, 2026.

REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

7. **Consider Approval:** June Hearing Item 7 “Selma North Reorganization” (LAFCo File RO-25-14)

Recommendation: Receive, File, and Continue.

8. **Consider Approval:** Executive Officer Contract Findings

Recommendation: Approve.

9. **Executive Officer Comments**

10. **Commission Comments / Reports**

11. **Adjournment**

THE NEXT LAFCO MEETING will be held on August 12, 2026, at 1:30 p.m. in the Board of Supervisors’ Chamber – Hall of Records, Room 301, Fresno, California.

PLEASE NOTE:

(1) “If you are an applicant for, or a participant in, any proceeding on the agenda for a land use entitlement and have made campaign contributions totaling more than \$500.00 to any member or alternative member of the Commission within twelve (12) months prior to the Commission considering your application, please immediately inform the Commission of your contribution. State law disqualifies each Commissioner and Alternate Commissioner from participating in and voting on land use entitlement decisions (which include changes of organization and reorganizations) if the Commissioner or Alternative Commissioner has received campaign contributions from (i) an applicant for a land use entitlement, (ii) someone who lobbies the Commission or LAFCo staff regarding an application for land use entitlement, (iii) someone who testifies in person before the Commission regarding an application for land use entitlement, or (iv) someone who otherwise acts to influence the outcome of an application for land use entitlement. State law also prohibits applicants, agents, and participants from making campaign contributions to a Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner within twelve (12) months after the Commission’s action. If you have any questions regarding these requirements (which are contained in the California Gov. Code sec. 84308 *et seq.*) please feel free to contact LAFCo staff at (559) 600-0604.”

(2) In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (**ADA**), if you need special assistance to participate at this meeting, please contact Ms. Amanda Olivas, Clerk to the Commission at 559-600-0604. Notification provided a minimum of 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the Clerk to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. Pursuant to the ADA, the meeting room is accessible to the physically disabled.



Fresno Local Agency Formation Commission
1401 Fulton Street, Suite 800, Fresno, CA 93721, (559) 600-0604

CONSENT AGENDA ITEM NO. 6-A

FRESNO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION (LAFCo)

MEETING MINUTES

June 10, 2026

Members Present: Commissioners Magsig, Martinez, Mendes, Parra, Santoyo

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Brian Spaunhurst, LAFCo Executive Officer
Amanda Olivas, Clerk to the Commission
Jessica Gibson, LAFCo Analyst
Joel Matias, LAFCo Analyst
Lizbeth Dominguez, LAFCo Analyst
John Luebberke, LAFCo Counsel

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair Martinez called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Commissioner Parra led the Pledge of Allegiance

3. Changes and Approval of Agenda

There were no changes to the agenda

4. Comments from the Public

There were no comments from the public

5. Potential Conflicts of Interest

Commissioner Magsig will recuse himself from voting on Item 8, City of Clovis
"Perrin-Sunnyside Southeast Reorganization."

CONSENT AGENDA

6. A. Minutes from the LAFCo Meeting of May 13, 2026

B. Destruction of LAFCo Public Records

Motion: Approve Items 6A- 6B

Moved: Commissioner Santoyo

Second: Commissioner Mendes

Ayes: Commissioners Santoyo, Mendes, Magsig, Parra, Martinez

Noes: 0
Absent: 0
Abstain: 0
Passed: 5-0

REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

7. **City of Selma “Selma North Reorganization.”** A proposed reorganization to annex approximately 181.69 acres to the City of Selma and the Selma-Kingsburg-Fowler Sanitation District and detach from the Fresno County Fire Protection District and the Kings River Conservation District said territory being located to the north of Dinuba Avenue between west of McCall Avenue and east of Duke Avenue. (LAFCo File No. RO-25-14)

Jeff Roberts spoke on behalf of the project and requested the Commission to continue the item to the next hearing.

Sonja Shafer and Gladys Griffith expressed their concerns on traffic, accidents in the area, and their opposition on the annexation.

Megan Lawrence, City of Selma Planner, answered commission questions on agriculture land.

The Commission motioned to continue this item to a date certain of July 8, 2026, for the City and County to agree upon an alternative boundary.

Motion: Continue to a date certain of July 8, 2026.

Moved: Commissioner Santoyo

Second: Commissioner Parra

Ayes: Commissioners Santoyo, Parra, Magsig, Mendes, Martinez

Noes: 0

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Passed: 5-0

8. **City of Clovis “Perrin-Sunnyside Southeast Reorganization.”** A proposed reorganization to annex approximately 40.67 acres of territory to the City of Clovis and detach from the Fresno County Fire Protection District for territory generally located south of East Perrin Avenue and east of North Sunnyside Avenue. (LAFCo File No. RO-26-05)

At 1:49 p.m. Commissioner Magsig recused himself.

Commissioner Santoyo had questions regarding allocations in which City Engineer Sean Smith came up to address the question.

Action 1: Motion for approval; Acting as Responsible Agency, the EIR Addendum prepared and certified by the City of Clovis as Lead Agency is determined to be legally adequate to CEQA Guidelines Section 15096.

Motion: Approve

Moved: Commissioner Mendes

Second: Commissioner Santoyo

Ayes: Commissioners Mendes, Santoyo, Parra, Martinez

Noes: 0

Absent: 0

Abstain: Commissioner Magsig

Passed: 4-0-0-1

Action 2: Motion for approval of Action 2A-G; in summary these items approve the “City of Clovis Perrin-Sunnyside Southeast Reorganization” and directs the Executive Officer to record the approved proposal after all conditions have been satisfied and waives any further Conducting Authority Proceedings.

Motion: Approval

Moved: Commissioner Mendes

Second: Commissioner Santoyo

Ayes: Commissioners Mendes, Santoyo, Parra, Martinez

Noes: 0

Absent: 0

Abstain: Commissioner Magsig

Passed: 4-0-0-1

At 1:54 p.m. Commissioner Magsig returned.

9. **City of Fresno “Willow-Nees No. 3b Reorganization.”** A proposed reorganization to annex approximately 10.55 acres to the City of Fresno and detach from the Fresno County Fire Protection District and the Kings River Conservation District, located on the southwest corner of East Nees Avenue and north Willow Avenue within the unincorporated area of the City’s Sphere of Influence. (LAFCo File No. RO-26-02)

Commissioners Magsig and Mendes made the comment of this being an “island” since it was in between both Fresno and Clovis city limits.

Commissioner Santoyo had some questions regarding water demands and if water could be supplied to this area.

Juan Lara from the City of Fresno came up and answered the Commission’s questions. Juan mentioned that before projects come before the Commission, the City, County, and LAFCo come together to address all these questions to ensure adequate services can be provided.

Action 1: Motion for approval; Acting as Responsible Agency, the Negative Declaration prepared and certified by the City of Fresno as Lead Agency is determined to be legally adequate pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15096.

Motion: Approve

Moved: Commissioner Parra

Second: Commissioner Santoyo

Ayes: Commissioners Parra, Santoyo, Magsig, Mendes, Martinez

Noes: 0

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Passed: 5-0

Action 2: Motion of approval of Action 2A-G; in summary these items approve the "City of Fresno Nees-Willow No. 3b Reorganization" and directs the Executive Officer to record the approved proposal after all conditions have been satisfied and waives any further Conducting Authority Proceedings.

Motion: Approve

Moved: Commissioner Parra

Second: Commissioner Santoyo

Ayes: Commissioners Parra, Santoyo, Magsig, Mendes, Martinez

Noes: 0

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Passed: 5-0

10. Executive Officer Comments.

LAFCo Executive Officer Brian Spaunhurst provided comments.

11. Commission Comments/Reports.

There were no comments from the Commission.

CLOSED SESSION

12. Public Employee Performance Evaluation

Title: Executive Officer

The Commission went into closed session at 2:04 p.m.

Commission Parra left the meeting.

The Commission returned from closed session at 2:21 p.m.

LAFCo Legal Counsel reported Commissioner Santoyo and Chair Martinez would serve as the Ad-hoc Committee to discuss EO Contract and will report back the the Commission.

13. Adjournment.

Motion to adjourn: Commissioner Mendes

Second: Commissioner Santoyo

The meeting adjourned at 2:23 p.m.

Amanda Olivas
Clerk to the Commission

**FRESNO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION (LAFCo)
EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT**

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7

DATE: July 10, 2026

TO: Fresno Local Agency Formation Commission

FROM: Brian Spaunhurst, Executive Officer

BY: Joel Matias, LAFCo Analyst

SUBJECT: June Hearing Item 7 “Selma North Reorganization” (LAFCo File No. RO-25-14)

RECOMMENDATION: Receive and table to a future date.

Background

On September 2, 2025, the City of Selma adopted Resolution Nos. 2025-85R and 2025-86R requesting the Commission to begin proceedings for the “Selma North Reorganization” which consists of annexation of approximately 181.69 acres to the City of Selma and the Selma-Kingsburg-Fowler Sanitation District and detachment from the Fresno County Fire Protection District and the Kings River Conservation District, said territory being located to the north of Dinuba Avenue between west of McCall Avenue and east of Duke Avenue.

This item had been scheduled for consideration at the June 10th LAFCo hearing. On June 5th, the Interim Community Development Director submitted a written request to continue the item to a future date. During the June 10th hearing, the applicant requested that the matter be tabled for one month and continued until the July 8th hearing.

Due to the volume of protests received, the current proposal would result in termination through a special election. To address this, the City is seeking additional time to collaborate with County staff on an alternative boundary. The goal is to create a revised annexation area that allows residents who do not wish to be annexed to remain within the County. The City of Selma and Fresno County are actively working together to develop this potential boundary adjustment, and staff is requesting continuance to allow this coordination to continue.

The City has expressed the need to table this item again to give time to work on a new boundary. LAFCo staff has informed the Interim Community Development Director that there are fees for the public hearing notice that need to be paid again if this item is tabled. Selma staff have acknowledged this and are willing to pay for this item to be taken to a future date.

Discussion

LAFCo staff has been in communication with representatives from the City of Selma and County of Fresno to coordinate potential solutions. The following is a breakdown of the different milestones made by City and County staff:

- A. The City of Selma's Interim Community Development Director submitted a written request for a continuance to a future date on June 5th.
- B. At the June 10th hearing, it was requested by the applicant to table this item for one month to allow for conversation with City, County, and LAFCo staff for an alternative boundary.
- C. On June 16th, the City of Selma held their City Council meeting where a proposed boundary was presented. The new boundary has removed the residents that expressed they did not want to be included in the proposed annexation.
- D. On June 17th, LAFCo staff reached out to City of Selma staff and County staff to determine the outcome of the proposed boundary change with the City Council. County staff raised concerns that they were not aware of the new boundary.
- E. City of Selma staff set up a meeting to discuss the new boundary with all agencies. County staff expressed that the new boundary created two areas and that the County had to review if the new map was consistent with the MOU. LAFCo staff expressed concerns that the new map still creates an island.
- F. On June 29th, LAFCo staff reached out via email to the City of Selma and County to find out if the boundary was agreed upon by the County and City of Selma. This was when the County noted that there was not a determination of MOU consistency for any revised annexation boundary. The County mentioned that a revision of the original Notice of Intent to Annex to the City/County MOU was needed and the County needs to formally respond. The original County response does not apply to any revised proposal.
- G. As of today, all three agencies have not agreed upon the revised boundary. The City is requesting more time to table this item to allow all agencies to work together and agree on a revised boundary. LAFCo staff did inform City staff that if this item is continued to another hearing, the applicant would have to pay the public hearing fee. Applicants have acknowledged that they would be willing to pay the fees for tabling this item to a future hearing to allow City and County staff to agree on an alternative boundary.

LAFCo staff have encouraged City and County staff to work toward a boundary that would be agreed upon by all three agencies. LAFCo has encouraged City and County staff to be available for Commission questions in an effort to keep the project as close to the original timeline as possible.